UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

v. Ben Robbie Adams	Case No. 1:10-cr-00263-RJJ
	Case No. 1.10-ci-00205-No.
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refor that the defendant be detained pending trial.	m Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Find	lings of Fact
	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	6(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is de	eath or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of te	en years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state o	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but invol	lves:
a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon \$ 2250
	ile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presump person or the community. I further find that defendant h	ntion that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternative	Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	nas committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten years or Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et sec	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establ will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and	ished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions I the safety of the community.
	Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	
	e Reasons for Detention
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to conte Defendant has been in state custody and would not be releas Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to to 	sed in any case.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	October 14, 2010	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	